

United States Patent and Trademark Office

H.P

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,262	03/24/2004	Kazuhiko Fukazawa	119216	3894
25944 75	90 10/20/2006		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BER	RRIDGE, PLC		KARLSEN,	ERNEST F
P.O. BOX 1992 ALEXANDRIA	-		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
<i>NEEM NORM</i>	i, 111 22320		2829	
			DATE MAILED: 10/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/807,262	FUKAZAWA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ernest F. Karlsen	2829			
The MA	AILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENE WHICHEVER - Extensions of tim after SIX (6) MOI - If NO period for re - Failure to reply w Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of any be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 THS from the mailing date of this communication. Supply is specified above, the maximum statutory period within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, d by the Office later than three months after the mailing m adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•		1			
1)⊠ Respon	sive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Au</u>	<u>ugust 2006</u> .				
2a)☐ This act	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of CI	aims ·					
4a) Of th 5) ☐ Claim(s 6) ☐ Claim(s 7) ☐ Claim(s	 1-25 is/are pending in the application. above claim(s) is/are withdraw is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. 1-25 are subject to restriction and/or expression. 	vn from consideration.				
Application Pape	ers					
10) The drav Applican Replace	cification is objected to by the Examine ving(s) filed on is/are: a) accept may not request that any objection to the ment drawing sheet(s) including the correct or or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119	•				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice of Drafts	ences Cited (PŢO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate			
3) Information Dis Paper No(s)/Ma	closure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) iil Date	6) Other:	atont repulsation			

Application/Control Number: 10/807,262

Art Unit: 2829

In their response of August 7, 2006 Applicants have added eight more claims which Applicants argue are generic. The Examiner considers them to be yet another species.

The restriction requirement of July 7, 2006 is withdrawn and the following substituted therefor:

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-7, 15-17 and 22-25 drawn to substrate inspection apparatus, classified in class 250, subclass 559.45.
- !I. Claims 8-14 and 18-21 drawn to substrate inspection methods, classified in class 250, subclass 559.45.

The inventions are independent or distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the apparatus can be used to perform a plurality of methods as disclosed.

If Invention I is elected further election of species is required as follows: This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

- 1. The species to which claims 1 and 2 are drawn.
- 2. The species to which claims 3 and 4 are drawn.
- 3. The species to which claims 5-7 are drawn.

4. The species to which claim 15 is drawn

- 5. The species to which claim 16 is drawn.
- 6. The species to which claim 17 is drawn.
- 7. The species to which claims 22-25 are drawn.

The species are independent or distinct because they are mutually exclusive.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 ČFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

If Invention II is elected further election of species is required as follows: This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

- 1. The species to which claims 8 and 9 are drawn.
- 2. The species to which claims 10 and 11 are drawn.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,262

Art Unit: 2829

3. The species to which claims 12-14 are drawn.

4. The species to which claims 18-21 are drawn.

The species are independent or distinct because they are mutually exclusive.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ernest F.

Karlsen at telephone number 571-272-1961.

Ernest F. Kaarlsen

October 16, 2006

Page 4

PRIMARY EXAMINE